### NASA Technical Memorandum 101625

### Technical Communications in Aeronautics: Results of an Exploratory Study

An Analysis of Managers' and Nonmanagers' Responses

Thomas E. Pinelli, Myron Glassman, Rebecca O. Barclay, and Walter E. Oliu

**AUGUST 1989** 

(NASA-TM-101625) TECHNICAL COMMUNICATIONS IN AERONAUTICS: RESULTS OF AN EXPLORATORY STUDY. AN ANALYSIS OF MANAGERS' AND NONMANAGERS' RESPONSES (NASA) 61 pcscl 05P N90-11647

Unclas G3/82 0235056



### NASA Technical Memorandum 101625

# Technical Communications in Aeronautics: Results of an Exploratory Study

An Analysis of Managers' and Nonmanagers' Responses

Thomas E. Pinelli Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia

Myron Glassman
Old Dominion University
Norfolk, Virginia

Rebecca O. Barclay Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York

Walter E. Oliu
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC



National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Langley Research Center Hampton, Virginia 23665

Recommended Citation:
Pinelli, Thomas E.; Myron Glassman; Rebecca O. Barclay, and Walter E. Oliu. Technical Communications in Aeronautics: Results of an Exploratory Study—An Analysis of Managers' and Nonmanagers' Responses. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA TM-101625. August 1989. 58 p. (Available from NTIS, Springfield, VA.)

#### CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vi
INTRODUCTION	1
RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY FOR THE EXPLORATORY STUDY .	2
BACKGROUND FOR THE ANALYSIS OF MANAGERS' AND NONMANAGERS' RESPONSES	3
Assumptions	5
PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF MANAGERS' AND NONMANAGERS' RESPONSES	6
Survey Objective 1: The Importance of Technical Communications	7
Survey Objective 2: The Use and Production of Technical Communications	11
Survey Objective 3: Content for an Undergraduate Course in Technical Communications	20
Survey Objective 4: Use of Libraries, Technical Information Centers, and On-Line Databases	28
Survey Objective 5: Use and Importance of Computer and Information Technology	30
VALIDITY OF THE ASSUMPTIONS	37
Assumption 1: The Importance of Communicating Technical Information Effectively Is Equally Significant to Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers	37
Assumption 2: The Use and Production of Technical Information and Technical Information Products Are Different For Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers	38
Assumption 3: The Content For an Undergraduate Course in Technical Communications Should Be Viewed Differently By Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers	39
Assumption 4: The Use of Libraries, Technical Information Centers, and On-Line Databases Differs For Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers	. 39
Assumption 5: The Use and Importance of Computer and Information Technology Differs For Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers	. 40



CONCLUDING	REMARKS	3.	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	41
APPENDIX:	SURVEY	INS	TRU	JMEI	T	•		•	•	•	•		•		•	•				•	•	45
REFERENCES		, •	• •																			51

### LIST OF TABLES

### Table

1	Importance of Technical Communications	8
2	Time Spent Communicating Technical Information to Others	8
3	Time Spent Working With Technical Information Received From Others	9
4	Professional Advancement and Amount of Time Spent Communicating Technical Information to Others	9
5	Professional Advancement and Amount of Time Spent Working With Technical Communications Received From Others	10
6	Production of Technical Information Products	11
7	Use of Technical Information Products	13
8	Sources of Help Used To Write/Prepare Technical Communications	14
9	How Artwork is Produced	15
10	Types of Technical Information Produced	16
11	Types of Technical Information Used	17
12	Sources of Technical Information Used to Solve Technical Problems	18
13	Courses Taken in Technical Communications/Writing	21
14	Helpfulness of Technical Communications/Writing Coursework	21
15	Principles Recommended For Inclusion in an Undergraduate Technical Communications Course For Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists	20
16	Mechanics Recommended For Inclusion in an Undergraduate Technical Communications Course For Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists	23

17	On-the-Job Communications Recommended For Inclusion in an Undergraduate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists	24
18	Types of Technical Reports Recommended For Inclusion in an Undergraduate Technical Communications Course For Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists	25
19	Use of Library or Technical Information Center	28
20	Use of Electronic Databases	29
21	How Electronic Databases Are Searched	29
22	Use of Computer Technology For Preparing Written Technical Communications	30
23	Effect of Computer Technology on Increasing Ability to Communicate Technical Information	31
24	Use of Software to Prepare Written Technical Communications	32
25	Use of an Integrated Graphics, Text, and Modeling Engineering Workstation For Preparing Written Technical Communications	33
26	Use of Electronic or Desk-Top Publishing Systems For Preparing Written Technical Communications	33
27	Use, Non-Use, and Potential Use of Information Technologies to Communicate Technical Information	31

### TECHNICAL COMMUNICATIONS IN AERONAUTICS: RESULTS OF AN EXPLORATORY STUDY

### AN ANALYSIS OF MANAGERS' AND NONMANAGERS' RESPONSES

#### INTRODUCTION

This exploratory study investigated the technical communications practices of aeronautical engineers and scientists. The study, which utilized survey research in the form of a self-administered mail questionnaire, had a twofold purpose: (1) to gather baseline data regarding several aspects of technical communications in aeronautics and (2) to develop and validate questions that could be used in a future study concerning the role of the U.S. government technical report in aeronautics.

The study had five specific objectives: first, to solicit the opinions of aeronautical engineers and scientists regarding the importance of technical communications to their profession; second, to determine the use and production of technical communications by aeronautical engineers and scientists; third, to seek their views about the appropriate content of an undergraduate course in technical communications; fourth, to determine aeronautical engineers' and scientists' use of libraries, technical information centers, and on-line databases; and fifth, to determine the use and importance of computer and information technology to them. The study, which spanned the period from July 1988 to November 1988, was conducted in

conjunction with Old Dominion University under Contract NAS1-18584, Task 28, to help ensure the objectivity and confidentiality of the data and to obtain research skills not readily available to the project.

#### RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY FOR THE EXPLORATORY STUDY

Data were collected by means of the self-administered mail questionnaire shown in the Appendix. The questionnaire was developed within the project team; circulated to selected technical communicators for review and comment; and pretested at the NASA Ames Research Center, the NASA Langley Research Center, and the McDonnell Douglas Corporation in St. Louis.

Members of the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA) comprised the study population. The sample frame consisted of approximately 25 000 AIAA members in the United States with either academic, government, or industry affiliations. Simple random sampling was used to select 2000 individuals from the sample frame to participate in the exploratory study. Six hundred and six (606) usable questionnaires (30.3 percent response rate) were received by the established cut off date.

The questionnaire used in the study contained 35 questions:
25 questions concerned technical communications in aeronautics,
8 questions concerned demographic information about the survey
respondents, and 2 open-ended questions allowed survey
respondents to comment on the topics covered in the questionnaire

and to offer suggestions for improving technical communications in aeronautics.

The data were analyzed by using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences-X (SPSS-X) designed for use with a personal computer. Cross tabulations were prepared to explore the relationships between the responses to the 25 questions and the respondent's organizational affiliation. Affiliations included "academic" (both academic and not-for-profit organizations), government (NASA and non-NASA), and industry. The Chi-Square and one-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) at the 0.05 level of statistical significance were used as the nonparametric and parametric tests for relationships between the responses to the 25 questions and the organizational affiliations of the respondents. The results of the exploratory study are presented in NASA Technical Memorandum 101534, Parts 1 and 2 (Pinelli, et al. 1989).

### BACKGROUND FOR THE ANALYSIS OF MANAGERS' AND NONMANAGERS' RESPONSES

This report represents an analysis of the management and nonmanagement responses to the data collected in the exploratory study. These responses were analyzed to test the primary assumption that aerospace managers and nonmanagers have different technical communications practices.

Many technical communicators believe that managers and nonmanagers have different technical communications practices.

This assumption of differences is based on the presumption that

the duties of managers and nonmanagers are fundamentally different. Consequently, these two groups would develop different information use and production strategies that would, in turn, manifest themselves as distinctive technical communications practices.

There is, however, little empirical evidence to support the presumption that managers and nonmanagers, in general, and aerospace managers and nonmanagers, in particular, have different technical communications practices. For example, Pinelli, et al. (1984) found little difference in the choice of report components used by aerospace managers and nonmanagers to decide to read a NASA technical report. Additionally, there was little difference in the order in which the components of a NASA technical report were read. Furthermore, aerospace managers and nonmanagers expressed little difference in their preferences regarding the production (i.e., format and layout) of NASA technical reports (Pinelli, et al. 1982).

The assumption of differences is stated as a research question, "Do aerospace managers and nonmanagers have different technical communications practices?," rather than a research hypothesis for the following reasons:

- 1. The study is exploratory in nature and, as such, has certain limitations.
- 2. The low response rate of 30.3 percent, which is fairly typical for mail surveys, prohibits generalizing the findings to the "nonrespondents" and the population being studied.

3. The available related research and literature regarding the technical communications practices of managers and nonmanagers does not provide a sufficient research foundation.

#### <u>Assumptions</u>

Five secondary assumptions were made regarding the 5 study objectives. These assumptions, which are given below, were tested and were used to answer the research question.

- 1. The importance of communicating technical information effectively is equally significant to aerospace managers and nonmanagers. A significant difference in the reported responses of aerospace managers and nonmanagers regarding "importance" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.
- 2. The use and production of technical information and technical information products are different for aerospace managers and nonmanagers because of the different duties performed by the two groups. A significant difference in the reported responses of aerospace managers and nonmanagers regarding "use and production" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.
- 3. The content for an undergraduate course in technical communications should be viewed differently by aerospace managers and nonmanagers. A significant difference in the reported responses of aerospace managers and nonmanagers regarding "content" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.
- 4. The use of libraries, technical information centers, and on-line (electronic) databases differs for aerospace managers and nonmanagers because of the different duties performed by the two groups. A significant difference in the reported responses of aerospace managers and nonmanagers regarding "usage" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.
- 5. The use and importance of computer and information technology differs for aerospace managers and nonmanagers because of the different duties performed by the two groups. A significant difference in the reported responses of aerospace managers and nonmanagers regarding "use and importance" would support the presumption of different technical communications practices between the two groups.

## PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION OF MANAGERS' AND NONMANAGERS' RESPONSES

The data in this report are presented for each survey objective and discussed in terms of management/nonmanagement responses. Background data collected as part of the survey revealed that approximately 76 percent of the respondents held nonmanagement positions and approximately 24 percent held administrative/managerial positions.

The Chi-Square and t-test for a difference between two independent means were used as the nonparametric and parametric tests for relationships between the responses to the 25 questions and the management and nonmanagement respondents. Attempts were made to establish the extent to which the characteristics of the population may reasonably be inferred from the attributes of the sample. Such inference is then subject to various conventions regarding statistical significance. The appropriate application of such conventions to the primary effort (n=606) is called "Estimate of Parameters." The population parameter, in this case a population proportion (P), is estimated from a sample proportion (p). Such estimates are dependent in part upon sample size, the overall response rate, and the sample size (response) for each question.

Given the general range of sample sizes and the nature of the sampling distribution of proportions, it can be stated that at the 95 percent confidence level, the true population proportion (P) for managers lies within  $\frac{+}{-}8.4$  percent of the sample proportion (p) and the true population proportion (P) for nonmanagers lies within  $\frac{+}{-}4.8$  percent of the sample proportion (p).

Although a confidence and tolerance level can be established, readers are cautioned that while a random sample of AIAA members were sent questionnaires, no assurances of randomness can be made regarding the questionnaires that were returned. Because the overall response rate was less than 50 percent, which is traditionally considered to be "representative," the figures given above should be used with caution when making generalizations about the population.

#### Survey Objective 1: The Importance of Technical Communications

To determine the importance of technical communications in aeronautics, survey respondents were asked to indicate the importance of communicating technical information effectively, the number of hours spent each week communicating technical information to others, the number of hours spent each week working with technical communications received from others, and

how professional advancement has affected the amount of time they spend communicating technical information to others and working with technical communications from others.

Approximately 99 percent of the managers and nonmanagers surveyed (Table 1) indicate that the ability to communicate technical information effectively is important. Fewer than 1.0 percent indicate that this ability is not at all important.

Table 1. Importance of Technical Communications

	Managers			
How Important	No.	%	No.	%
Very Somewhat Not at all Total	129 14 1 1 144	89.6 9.7 .7 —— 100.0	411 45 2 — 458	89.8 9.8 .4 ——————————————————————————————————

Managers spend an average of 13.6 hours per week communicating technical information to others (Table 2), and nonmanagers spend an average of 14.0 hours per week. Based on a 40-hour work week, both groups spend approximately 35 percent of their work week communicating technical information to others.

Table 2. Time Spent Communicating Technical Information to Others

	Mar	Nonma	anagers	
Time Spent Per Week, Hour	No.	%	No.	%
5 or less 6 to 10 11 to 20 21 or more	22 48 58 13 	15.6 34.1 41.1 9.2 100.0	79 140 179 55 453	17.7 30.9 39.5 11.9
Mean	·	13.6		.0

Managers and nonmanagers spend approximately 13 hours a week working with technical communications received from others (Table 3) which is approximately 31 percent of their 40-hour work week.

Table 3. Time Spent Working With Technical Information Received From Others

	Mar	Nonmanagers			
Time Spent Per Week, Hour	No.	%	No.	%	
5 or less 6 to 10 11 to 20 21 or more	14 65 54 8 141	9.9 46.2 38.3 5.6 ———————————————————————————————————	111 156 143 44 454	24.6 34.3 31.5 9.6 100.0	
Mean	13	3.0	12.5		

Considering both the time spent working on the preparation of technical information and the time spent working with technical information received from others, technical communications takes up approximately 66 percent of the manager's and nonmanager's 40-hour work week.

Approximately 59 percent of the managers and 76 percent of the nonmanagers indicate that as they advanced professionally, the amount of time they spent communicating technical information to others increased (Table 4). Approximately 11 percent of the

Table 4. Professional Advancement and Amount of Time Spent Communicating Technical Information to Others

	Ma	nagers	Nonmanagers		
Time Spent Communicating	No.	%	No.	%	
Increased Stayed the same Decreased Total	84 15 44 143	58.7 10.5 *30.8 100.0	349 76 34 459	*76.0 16.6 7.4 100.0	

Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

managers and 17 percent of the nonmanagers indicate that the amount of time spent communicating technical information to others stayed the same. Approximately 31 percent of the managers and 7 percent of the nonmanagers indicate that the amount of time they spent communicating technical information to others decreased as they advanced professionally. In terms of the amount of time spent communicating technical information to others, nonmanagers were more likely to say that the amount of time has increased and managers were more likely to say it has decreased.

Approximately 63 percent of the managers and 61 percent of the nonmanagers indicate that as they advanced professionally, the amount of time they spent working with technical communications received from others increased (Table 5).

Table 5. Professional Advancement and Amount of Time Spent Using Technical Information Received From Others

Time C	Ma	NonManagers		
Time Spent Using	No.	%	No.	%
Increased Stayed the same Decreased	89 25 28	62.7 17.6 *19.7	278 129 49	61.0 *28.3 10.7
Total	142	100.0	<del></del> 456	100.0

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

Approximately 18 percent of the managers and 28 percent of the nonmanagers indicate that the amount of time they spent working with technical communications received from others stayed the same as they advanced professionally. Approximately 20 percent of the managers and 11 percent of the nonmanagers indicate that

the amount of time they spent working with technical communications received from others decreased as they advanced professionally. Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to say that the amount of time they spent working with technical communications received from others had stayed the same, and managers were more likely than nonmanagers to say that it had decreased.

### <u>Survey Objective 2: The Use and Production of Technical</u> Communications

Survey respondents were asked to indicate the amount and type of technical information products they produced and used as well as the sources of help they sought in producing technical information and in solving technical problems.

Memos, letters, and audio visual (A/V) materials are the technical information products most frequently produced by both managers and nonmanagers (Table 6). On the average, managers

Table 6. Production of Technical Information Products

	6-mont	n average
Products	Managers	Nonmanagers
Letters	*30.5	19.6
Memos	*49.0	22.6
Technical reports-Government	*2.1	1.4
Technical reports-Other	1.8	1.9
Proposals	*2.1	1.6
Technical manuals	0.3	0.3
Computer program	l	
documentation	0.5	*1.6
Journal articles	0.3	0.4
	*1.5	0.9
Conference/Meeting papers Trade/Promotional literature	1.5	0.9
Press releases	*0.4	0.2
Drawings/Specifications	2.1	3.6
Speeches	<b>*</b> 3.6	1.8
Audio/Visual materials	*9.6	5.6

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

produced 49 memos, 30.5 letters, and 9.6 A/V materials in a 6-month period. On the average, nonmanagers produced 22.6 memos, 19.6 letters, and 5.6 A/V materials. Based on average production, a list of the five technical information products most frequently produced by managers and nonmanagers follows:

### Most Frequently Produced By Managers

Memos
Letters
A/V materials
Speeches
\*Government technical
reports, Proposals, and
Drawing/Specifications

#### Most Frequently Produced By Nonmanagers

Memos Letters A/V materials Drawing/Specifications Other technical reports

The number of technical information products produced by both managers and nonmanagers were compared using a t-test to determine significant differences (Table 6). Of the 14 comparisons, 10 were significantly different. Managers prepared more letters, memos, government technical reports, proposals, conference/meeting papers, trade/promotional literature, press releases, speeches, and A/V materials. Nonmanagers prepared more computer program documentation.

Memos, letters, trade/promotional literature, and journal articles are the technical information products most frequently used by both managers and nonmanagers (Table 7).

<sup>\*</sup>indicates a tie for these three products

Table 7. Use of Technical Information Products

	1-month average					
Products	Managers	Nonmanagers				
Letters Memos Technical reports-Government Technical reports-Other Proposals Technical manuals Computer program documentation Journal articles Conference/Meeting papers Trade/Promotional literature Drawings/Specifications Audio/Visual materials	*30.8 *38.7 4.3 *4.9 *2.5 1.1 2.2 5.8 4.0 7.2 *4.6 *6.8	12.3 19.8 4.2 1.1 4.4 *2.6 *3.2 *7.1 *4.4 5.3 9.0 5.2				

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

On the average, managers used 38.7 memos, 30.8 letters,

7.2 trade/promotional literature, and 5.8 journal articles in

a 1-month period. Nonmanagers used 19.8 memos, 12.3 letters,

7.1 journal articles, and 5.3 trade/promotional literature in a 1-month period. Based on average use, a list of the five

technical information products most frequently used follows:

Most Frequently Used	Most Frequently Used
By Managers	By Nonmanagers
Memos Letters Trade/Promotional literature A/V materials Journal articles	Memos Letters Drawing/specifications Journal articles Trade/Promotional literature

The number of technical information products used by both managers and nonmanagers was compared by using a t-test to determine significant differences (Table 7). Of the 12 comparisons, 10 were significantly different. Managers used more letters, memos, other technical reports, proposals, drawings/

specifications, and A/V materials. Nonmanagers used more technical manuals, computer program documentation, journal articles, and conference/meeting papers.

Managers and nonmanagers seek the help of both people and other information sources to prepare technical information products (Table 8). Combining the "always" and "usually"

Table 8. Sources of Help Used To Write/Prepare Technical Communications

	Number	Percent of Respondents			
Sources of Help	of	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never
	Respondents		Man	agers	
Other colleagues Secretaries Technical writers or editors A thesaurus/dictionary A style manual A grammar hotline	143 144 134 140 136 134	7.7 32.6 0.0 13.6 0.7 0.0	40.6 29.2 5.2 22.9 4.4 0.7	51.7 27.8 47.0 52.8 30.2 2.3	0.0 10.4 47.8 10.7 64.7 97.0
			Nonman	agers	
Other colleagues Secretaries Technical writers or editors A thesaurus/dictionary A style manual A grammar hotline	457 457 442 453 439 433	12.5 20.1 2.0 23.8 1.8 0.2	39.8 27.6 4.5 31.1 4.8 0.7	44.2 38.5 38.1 38.5 36.9 6.5	3.5 13.8 55.4 6.6 56.5 92.6

responses indicates that managers most frequently sought the help of secretaries, followed by other colleagues and a thesaurus/dictionary. Nonmanagers most frequently sought the help of other colleagues, followed by a thesaurus/dictionary and secretaries.

From the available data, it is difficult to determine why secretaries were used first by managers and last by nonmanagers as sources of help when producing technical information since memos and letters are the products most frequently produced by both groups. It is also difficult to determine if technical

both groups. It is also difficult to determine if technical writers and editors are so infrequently used because they are unavailable or for some other reason.

Managers and nonmanagers prepare artwork for their visual aids in various ways (Table 9). Approximately 50 percent of the

	Managers		Nonmanagers	
Production Method	No.	%	No.	%
Do own artwork without computer Do own artwork with computer Graphics department does artwork Sometimes do it and sometimes graphics department does it Secretary does it Artwork is prepared elsewhere	12 34 37 35 19 6	3.4 23.8 *25.8 24.5 *13.3 4.2	50 172 61 147 19 6	11.0 *37.8 13.4 *32.3 4.2 1.3

143

100.0

455

100.0

Table 9. How Artwork Is Produced

Total

managers use a combination of self-preparation and a graphics department, whereas approximately 24 percent prepare their own artwork with a computer. Approximately 38 percent of the nonmanagers, on the other hand, do their own artwork with a computer followed by those who use a combination of self-preparation and a graphics department (32.3 percent).

Nonmanagers were more likely to prepare their own artwork with a computer and were more likely to use a combination of self-preparation and a graphics department. Managers, on the other hand, were more likely to have the graphics department and a secretary prepare their artwork.

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

Managers and nonmanagers produce various types of technical information in the performance of their duties (Table 10).

Table 10. Types of Technical Information Produced [n = 144 for managers; n = 456 for nonmanagers]

	Managers		Nonmanagers	
Types of Technical Information	No.	%	No.	%
Scientific and technical information Experimental techniques Codes of standards and practices Design procedures and methods Computer programs Government rules and regulations In-house technical data Product and performance characteristics Economic information Technical specifications Patents	126 47 34 63 55 25 124 83 71 82 26	87.5 32.6 23.6 44.1 38.2 17.5 86.1 57.6 *49.3 56.9 18.1	427 222 92 219 288 66 385 266 93 276 82	*93.6 *48.7 20.2 48.1 *63.2 14.5 84.4 58.5 20.4 60.5 18.0

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

A list of the five most frequently produced types of technical information follows:

Most	Frequently Produced
	By Managers

Scientific and technical information
In-house technical data
Technical specifications
Economic information
Design procedures and methods

#### Most Frequently Produced By Nonmanagers

Scientific and technical information
In-house technical data Computer programs
Technical specifications
Product and performance characteristics

Managers were more likely than nonmanagers to produce economic information. Nonmanagers, on the other hand, were more likely than managers to produce scientific and technical information, experimental techniques, and computer programs.

Both managers and nonmanagers use various types of technical information in the performance of their duties (Table 11).

Table 11. Types of Technical Information Used [n = 144 for managers; n = 456 for nonmanagers]

	Ma	Managers		nagers
Types of Technical Information	No.	%	No.	%
Scientific and technical information Experimental techniques Codes of standards and practices Design procedures and methods Computer programs Government rules and regulations In-house technical data	139	96.5	443	97.1
	73	50.7	290	*63.7
	69	47.9	217	47.7
	78	54.2	258	56.7
	100	69.4	385	*84.4
	117	*81.3	313	68.8
	136	94.4	407	89.3
Product and performance characteristics Economic information Technical specifications Patents	103	71.5	331	72.6
	77	*53.5	138	30.3
	112	77.8	350	76.8
	24	16.7	60	13.2

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

A list of the five most frequently used kinds of technical information follows:

Most	Frequently Used
	By Managers

Scientific and technical information
In-house technical data
Government rules and regulations
Produce and performance characteristics
Technical specifications

#### Most Frequently Used By Nonmanagers

Scientific and technical information
In-house technical data Computer programs
Technical specifications
Product and performance characteristics

Managers were more likely than nonmanagers to use government rules and regulations and economic information in performing their current duties. Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to use experimental techniques and computer programs in performing their present duties.

As shown in Table 12, managers and nonmanagers use a variety of information sources when solving technical problems.

Table 12. Sources of Technical Information Used to Solve Technical Problems

	Number	Pe	rcent of F	Respondents	
Sources of Technical Information	of	Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never
	Respondents	•	Mana	agers	
Personal knowledge	142	35.9	48.6	15.5	0.0
Informal discussions with	142	16.8	59.4	23.8	0.0
colleagues Discussions with supervisors	143 141	6.4	27.7	55.3	10.6
Discussions with experts in	''				
organization	144	21.5	51.4	26.4	0.7
Discussions with experts	*143	4.2	25.2	66.4	4.2
outside of organization Technical reports-Government	143	2.8	20.3	69.2	7.7
Technical reports-Government Technical reports-Other	143	2.8	22.9	70.8	3.5
Professional	'**	2.0	22.3	70.0	0.5
journals/conference					
meeting papers	143	4.9	23.1	55.9	16.1
Textbooks	144	1.4	21.5	63.9	13.2
Handbooks and standards	140	2.9	14.3	67.9	15.0
Technical information sources,					
such as on-line data bases,					
indexing and abstracting					
guides, CD-ROM, and	420	l o	6.5	43.9	49.6
current awareness tools	139	'	0.5	45.9	49.0
Librarians/technical information specialists	141	0	9.9	65.2	24.8
mornation opedianets	, , ,	Nonmanagers			
	150	115			0.0
Personal knowledge	456	44.5	45.4	10.1	0.0
Informal discussions with	456	21.1	56.6	21.9	0.4
colleagues Discussions with supervisors	451	11.3	37.5	45.2	6.0
Discussions with experts in	751	1 ' '	07.5	10.2	0.0
organization	453	17.9	50.6	30.2	1.3
Discussions with experts		1			
outside of organization	455	6.8	17.4	66.2	9.7
Technical reports-Government	*455	6.8	29.7	58.0	5.5
Technical reports-Other	453	6.6	31.6	58.7	3.1
Professional					
journals/conference	*450	100	00.5	60.7	400
meeting papers	*452 *454	10.6 11.0	26.5 33.7	52.7 51.1	10.2 4.2
Textbooks	*454 *450	7.8	31.8	52.4	8.0
Handbooks and standards Technical information sources	450	1 '.0	31.6	52.4	5.0
Technical information sources, such as on-line data bases,					
indexing and abstracting		1	1		
guides, CD-ROM, and			1		
current awareness tools	444	1.6	7.0	45.3	46.2
Librarians/technical				1	
information specialists	454	3.3	11.9	66.3	18.5

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

The "always" and "usually" responses, which appear as percentages in Table 12, were combined to form the following list of information sources used by managers and nonmanagers to solve technical problems, given in decreasing order of frequency:

### SOURCES USED BY MANAGERS TO SOLVE TECHNICAL PROBLEMS

		Percent of
	Sources	Cases
•	Personal knowledge	84.5
Τ.	Informal discussion with colleagues	76.2
3.	Discussions with experts within the	72.9
	organization	34.1
4.	Discussions with supervisor	29.4
5.	Discussions with experts outside of	29.4
_	your organization	28.0
6.	Journals and conference/meeting papers	25.7
7.	Technical reports - other	23.1
	Technical reports - government	22.9
	Textbooks	17.2
10.	Handbooks and standards	= : : :
11. 12.	Librarians/technical information specialists Technical information sources such as on-line databases	6.5

## SOURCES USED BY NONMANAGERS TO SOLVE TECHNICAL PROBLEMS

		Percent of
	Sources	Cases
1.	Personal knowledge	89.9 77.7
3.	Informal discussion with colleagues Discussions with experts within the	68.5
	organization Discussions with supervisor	48.8 44.7
	Textbooks Handbooks and standards	39.6
7.	Technical reports - other Journals and conference/meeting papers	38.2 37.1
9.	Technical reports - government	36.5 24.2
	Discussions with experts outside of your organization	15.2
11. 12.	Librarians/technical information specialists Technical information sources such as on-line databases	8.6

The managers and nonmanagers in this study display a preference for personalized, informal information sources. Both groups identified an informal search for information using personal contacts as their primary method, followed by the use of formal information sources. Only after they have completed an informal search followed by the use of formal information sources do they turn to librarians and technical information specialists for assistance.

Of particular significance, however, is the use of experts outside the organization by the two groups. Managers turn to experts outside the organization more frequently than do nonmanagers. Managers are more likely to use this information source than nonmanagers. On the other hand, nonmanagers were more likely than managers to use discussions with supervisors, government technical reports, journal articles and meeting papers, textbooks, and handbooks and standards.

# <u>Survey Objective 3: Content for an Undergraduate Course in Technical Communications</u>

To obtain the views of managers and nonmanagers on the content for an undergraduate course in technical communications, survey respondents were asked if they had taken any course(s) in technical communications/writing, the degree to which the course(s) helped them communicate technical information, and their opinions regarding topics (i.e., principles and mechanics), on-the-job communications, and types of technical reports they

would recommend be included in an undergraduate technical communications course.

Approximately 26 percent of the managers and 24 percent of the nonmanagers had taken at least one course in technical communications/writing as undergraduates (Table 13).

Technical Communications/Writing	Managers		Nonmanagers	
Coursework Taken	No.	%	No.	%
Undergraduate After graduation	38 29	26.4 20.1	110 90	23.9 19.6
Both undergraduate and after graduation No	38 39	26.4 27.1	111 149	24.1 32.4
Total	144	100.0	460	100.0

Table 13. Courses Taken in Technical Communications/Writing

Approximately 20 percent of the managers and nonmanagers had taken such a course after graduation and approximately 26 percent of the managers and 24 percent of the nonmanagers had done so both as undergraduates and post graduates. Approximately 27 percent of the managers and 32 percent of the nonmanagers indicated they had taken no such course.

Approximately 97 percent of the managers and nonmanagers who had taken any course(s) in technical communications/writing indicated that doing so had helped them to communicate technical information (Table 14). The managers and nonmanagers were fairly

Managers Nonmanagers How Helpful No. No. 41.9 131 42.7 44 A lot 53.7 58 55.2 165 A little 2.9 3.6 Did not help 3 11 100.0 105 100.0 307 Total

Table 14. Helpfulness of Technical Communications/Writing Coursework

evenly divided as to whether the course(s) helped them "a lot" (41.9 percent and 42.7percent respectively) or "a little" (55.2 percent and 53.7 percent respectively). Approximately 3 percent of the managers and 4 percent of the nonmanagers indicated that their course(s) had not helped them.

The percentage of "yes" responses to the list of principles to be included in an undergraduate technical communications course range from a high of 97.2 and 96.5 percent (developing paragraphs) respectively for managers and nonmanagers to a low of 49.6 and 52.1 percent (notetaking and quoting) respectively for nonmanagers and managers. (See Table 15.)

Table 15. Principles Recomended for Inclusion in Undergradate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists
[n = 143 for managers; n = 459 for nonmanagers]

	Ma	Managers		nagers
Principles	No.	%	No.	%
Defining the communication's purpose Assessing readers' needs Organizing information Developing paragraphs (introductions,	130 116 139	90.9 82.9 97.2	416 372 442	90.8 81.2 96.5
transitions, and conclusions) Writing sentences (active vs. passive voice,	126	88.1	393	85.8
parallel ideas, shifts in person or tense) Using standard English grammar Notetaking and quoting	115 113 74	80.4 79.0 52.1	367 354 225	80.0 77.3 49.6
Editing and revising Choosing words (avoiding wordiness,	106	74.1	362	79.0
jargon, slang, sexist terms) Using information technology (video conferencing, electronic data	117	82.4	372	81.0
bases, etc.)	87	60.8	277	60.7

Seven of the 10 topics (principles) received "yes" responses of greater than 75 percent from managers, and 8 of the 10 topics received "yes" responses of greater than 75 percent from nonmanagers.

These topics are listed in descending order of importance:

Pe	<b>anagers</b> ercentage es <u>ponse</u>	Nonmanagers Percentage Response
<u> 10pic                                     </u>	<u>esponse</u>	Response
Organizing information	97.2	96.5
Defining the communication's purpose	90.9	90.8
Developing paragraphs	88.1	85.8
Assessing readers' needs	82.9	81.2
Choosing words	82.4	81.0
Writing sentences	80.4	80.0
Using standard English	79.0	77.3
grammar Choosing words	74.1	79.0

The percentage of "yes" responses of the list of mechanics to be included in an undergraduate technical communications course ranges from a high of almost 80 percent (punctuation) and 77 percent (references) for managers and nonmanagers respectively to a low of approximately 49 percent (abbreviations and numbers) for managers and nonmanagers respectively. (See Table 16.)

Table 16. Mechanics Recommended for Inclusion in Undergraduate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists [n = 139 for managers; n = 452 for nonmanagers]

	Ma	Managers		Nonmanagers	
Mechanics	No.	%	No.	%	
Abbreviations Acronyms Capitalization Numbers Punctuation References Spelling Symbols	67 68 91 67 111 106 98 72	48.6 48.9 65.9 49.3 79.9 76.3 70.5 52.2	236 226 269 218 338 347 286 266	52.2 50.0 59.5 48.6 74.8 76.8 63.3 58.8	

Five of the eight topics (mechanics) received "yes" responses of greater than 50 percent from managers and six of the eight topics received responses of greater than 50 percent from

nonmanagers. These topics are listed in descending order of importance:

Topic	Managers Percentage <u>Response</u>	Nonmanagers Percentage <u>Response</u>
Punctuation References Spelling Capitalization Symbols	79.9 76.3 70.5 65.9 52.2	74.8 76.8 63.3 59.5 58.8
Abbreviations Acronyms	48.6 48.9	52.2 50.0

The percentage of "yes" responses to the list of topics (on-the-job communications) to be included in a undergraduate technical communications course range from a high of approximately 97 percent (oral presentations) and 95 percent (oral presentations) for managers and nonmanagers respectively to a low of 24 percent (newspaper articles) and 25 percent (newspaper articles) for managers and nonmanagers respectively. (See Table 17.)

Table 17. On-the-Job Communications Recommended for Inclusion in Undergraduate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists [n = 144 for managers; n = 449 for nonmanagers]

	Ма	Managers		Nonmanagers	
On-the-Job Communications	No.	%	No.	%	
Abstracts Letters Memos Instructions Journal articles Literature reviews Manuals Newsletter articles Oral presentations Specifications Use of information sources	87 110 120 80 57 49 64 36 140 72 112	60.8 76.4 83.3 55.9 39.6 34.3 44.4 25.0 97.2 50.3 78.3	318 301 342 259 216 169 222 106 425 257 354	71.8 67.2 76.2 58.2 48.3 38.0 49.6 24.0 94.7 57.5 79.2	

Seven of the 11 topics (on-the-job communications) received "yes" responses from more than 50 percent of the survey respondents. These 7 topics are listed in descending order of importance:

Topic	Managers Percentage <u>Response</u>	Nonmanagers Percentage <u>Response</u>
Oral presentations Memos Use of information	97.2 83.3 78.3	94.7 76.2 79.2
sources Letters Abstracts Instructions Specifications	76.4 60.8 55.9 50.3	67.2 71.8 58.2 57.5

Respondents were asked to consider specific types of technical reports for inclusion in an undergraduate technical communications course. (See Table 18.) Progress reports and test reports were the first and second choices of managers and nonmanagers (82.0 percent and 80.3 percent for managers and 78.2 percent and 78.0 percent for nonmanagers respectively). As shown in Table 18, all types of technical reports, except for trouble reports, received "yes" responses from more than 50 percent of both managers and nonmanagers.

Table 18. Types of Technical Reports Recommended for Inclusion in Undergraduate Technical Communications Course for Aeronautical Engineers and Scientists [n = 133 for managers; n = 422 for nonmanagers]

Types of Technical Reports	Managers		Nonmanagers	
	No.	%	No.	%
Feasibility Investigative Laboratory Progress Test Trip Trouble	86 87 95 109 106 80 75	65.2 65.9 72.0 82.0 80.3 60.2 57.3	257 280 296 330 329 221 206	61.3 66.8 70.5 78.2 78.0 52.4 48.8

In an attempt to validate the findings regarding topics for an undergraduate technical communications course, the top five recommended on-the-job communications were compared with the top five (on the average) technical communications products "produced" and "used" by managers and nonmanagers.

Most Frequently Produced By Managers	Most Frequently Used By Managers	Most Frequently Recommended By Managers
Memos	Memos	Oral
Letters	Letters	presentations
A/V materials	Trade/Promotional	Memos
Speeches	literature	Use of
*Government technical	A/V materials	information
reports, Proposals,	Journal articles	sources
and Drawings/		Letters
Specifications		Technical
*indicates a tie for these thr	ee products	reports

<sup>\*</sup>indicates a tie for these three products

The list of topics most frequently recommended by managers compares quite favorably with the technical communications products "produced" and "used" by managers. Memos and letters are included in all three lists. Oral presentations, which rank first on the list of recommended topics, would include the use of A/V materials and the oral delivery (i.e., speeches) of the content, which rank third and fourth respectively on the list of products "produced." Considered as a group, technical reports would make the recommended topics list. Technical reports rank "fifth" in terms of products "produced" and "recommended."

The inclusion and relative importance (i.e., third) of "use of information sources" on the list of recommended topics is of particular interest. As can be concluded from Table 12, managers and nonmanagers tend to search for information themselves.

Therefore, would improving their ability to use information sources would better prepare managers to conduct their own search for the information needed to solve technical problems?

Most Frequently Produced By Nonmanagers	Most Frequently Used By Nonmanagers	Most Frequently Recommended By Nonmanagers
Memos Letters A/V materials Drawings/ Specifications Other technical	Memos Letters Drawings/ Specifications Journal articles Trade/Promotional literature	Oral presentations Use of Information sources Memos Abstracts Letters

The list of topics most frequently recommended by nonmanagers compares quite favorably with the technical communications products "produced" and "used" by nonmanagers.

Memos and letters are included on all three lists. Oral presentations, which rank first on the list of recommended topics, would include the use of A/V materials and the oral delivery (i.e., speeches) of the content. A/V materials rank third and sixth on the list of products "produced" and "used" by nonmanagers. Considered as a group, technical reports would make the list of recommended on-the-job topics. Technical reports ranked sixth on the list of recommended topics, fifth on the list of products "produced," and sixth on the list of products "used" by nonmanagers.

The inclusion of "use of information sources," which ranked second on the list of on-the-job communications most frequently recommended by nonmanagers, supports the conclusion stated

earlier that nonmanagers tend to search for information themselves when solving technical problems. Consequently, improving their ability to use information sources would better prepare nonmanagers to conduct their own search for information when solving technical problems.

#### <u>Survey Objective 4: Use of Libraries, Technical Information</u> <u>Centers, and On-Line Databases</u>

To determine the use of libraries, technical information centers, and on-line databases, survey respondents were asked three questions. They were asked to indicate how often they used a library or technical information center, their use of on-line databases, and how they search the databases.

Approximately 92 percent of the managers and 95 percent of the nonmanagers use a library or technical information center (Table 19). The frequency rates vary among managers and

Frequency of Use	Ma	Managers		Nonmanagers	
	No.	%	No.	%	
Daily Two to six times a week Once a week Two to three times a month Once a month Less than once a month Do not use	1 9 17 24 22 59 12	0.7 6.3 11.7 6.7 15.3 *41.0 8.3	11 50 72 92 80 127 24	2.4 11.0 15.8 *20.2 17.5 27.8 5.3	
Total	144	100.0	456	100.0	

Table 19. Use of Library or Technical Information Center

nonmanagers, however, with approximately 19 percent of the managers using a library or technical information center one or more times a week and approximately 29 percent of the nonmanagers

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

using a library or technical information center one or more times a week. Thirty-two percent of the managers and approximately 38 percent of the nonmanagers use a library or technical information center one or more times a month. Forty-one percent of the managers and approximately 28 percent of the nonmanagers use a library or technical information center less than once a month.

Fewer than one-third (31.2 percent) of the managers and fewer than one-half (48.1 percent) of the nonmanagers use on-line (electronic) databases (Table 20). Of those respondents who use

 Use
 Managers
 Nonmanagers

 No.
 %
 No.
 %

 Yes No
 45
 31.2
 219
 \*48.1

 99
 68.8
 236
 51.9

100.0

144

455

100.0

Table 20. Use of Electronic Databases

Total

databases, none of the managers and approximately 8 percent of the nonmanagers do all of their own searches (Table 21).

Table 21. How Electronic Databases Are Searched

	Ма	nagers	Nonmanagers		
How Searched	No.	%	No.	%	
Do all searches yourself Do most searches yourself Do half by yourself and half through an	0 4	0.0 0.4	18 38	* 8.3 *17.5	
intermediary (e.g. librarian)	5	11.6	27	12.4	
Do most searches through an intermediary (e.g. librarian) Do all searches through an intermediary	17 17	39.5 39.5	75 59	34.6 27.2	
Total	43	100.0	217	100.0	

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

Fewer than 1 percent of the managers and approximately 18 percent of the nonmanagers do most of their own database searches.

Approximately 12 percent of the managers and nonmanagers do one-half of their searches and have the other one-half done by an intermediary. Approximately 79 percent of the managers use an intermediary to do most or all of their electronic database searches, and about 62 percent of the nonmanagers use an intermediary to do most or all of their searches.

# <u>Survey Objective 5: Use and Importance of Computer and Information Technology</u>

To determine the use and importance of computer and information technology, survey respondents were asked about their use of computer technology, whether computer technology has increased their ability to communicate technical information, and what types of computer and information technology they used.

Approximately 86 percent of the managers and 93 percent of the nonmanagers use computer technology for preparing technical communications (Table 22). Managers were fairly evenly divided

Table 22. Use of Computer Technology for Preparing Written Technical Communications

	Ma	Nonmanagers		
Frequency	No.	%	No.	%
Always Usually Sometimes Never	43 43 38 20	29.9 29.9 26.4 13.8	189 148 93 30	41.1 32.2 20.2 6.5
Total	144	100.0	460	100.0

in terms of their degree of use: approximately 30 percent "always" use, approximately 30 percent "usually" use, and approximately 26 percent "sometimes" use computer technology for preparing technical communications. Approximately 41 percent of the nonmanagers "always" use, approximately 32 percent "usually" use, and approximately 20 percent "sometimes" use computer technology. Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to use computer technology.

Approximately 90 percent of the managers and 96 percent of the nonmanagers who use computer technology indicate that it has increased their ability to communicate technical information (Table 23). Approximately 56 percent of the managers and approximately 63 percent of the nonmanagers indicate that computer technology has increased their ability to communicate technical information " a lot."

Table 23. Effect of Computer Technology on Increasing Ability To Communicate Technical Information

Increasing Ability To Communicate	Ma	Nonmanagers		
Technical Information	No.	%	No.	%
A lot A little Not at all	69 43 12	55.6 34.7 9.7	273 140 17	63.4 32.6 4.0
Total	124	100.0	430	100.0

Managers and nonmanagers use a variety of software for preparing written technical communications (Table 24).

Table 24. Use of Software For Preparing Written Technical Communications [n = 123 for managers; n = 428 for nonmanagers]

	Ma	nagers	Nonmanagers		
Type of Software	No.	%	No.	%	
Word processing Outliners and prompters Grammar and style checkers Spelling checkers Thesaurus Business graphics Scientific graphics	113 11 16 73 41 57 68	91.9 9.0 13.1 59.3 33.6 *46.7 55.7	407 48 46 274 133 140 285	95.1 11.3 10.8 63.9 31.3 32.9 *66.9	

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

The percentage of "yes" responses ranges from a high of 91.9 percent (word processing) for managers and 95.1 percent for nonmanagers to a low of 9 percent (outliners and prompters) for managers and 10.8 percent for nonmanagers. A list of the five most frequently used types of software for preparing written technical communications follows:

Most	Fre	equently	Used
	$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}$	Managers	3

Word processing Spelling checkers Scientific graphics Business graphics Thesaurus

#### Most Frequently Used By Nonmanagers

Word processing Scientific graphics Spelling checkers Business graphics Thesaurus

Managers were more likely to use business graphics, whereas nonmanagers were more likely to use scientific graphics to prepare written technical communications.

More than half of the managers (59.8 percent) and nonmanagers (52.9 percent) never use an integrated graphics, text, and modeling engineering workstation for preparing written

technical communications (Table 25). Of those who do use

Table 25. Use of Integrated Graphics, Text, and Modeling Workstation for Preparing Written Technical Communications

	Ma	Nonma	Nonmanagers		
Frequency	No.	%	No.	%	
Always Usually Sometimes Never	8 13 28 73	6.6 10.6 23.0 59.8	31 48 121 225	7.3 11.3 28.5 52.9	
Total	122	100.0	425	100.0	

such a workstation, approximately 17 percent of the managers and 28 percent of the nonmanagers "always" or "usually" use it, and 23 percent of the managers and approximately 29 percent of the nonmanagers "sometimes" use it for preparing written technical communications.

Approximately 53 percent of the managers and 61 percent of the nonmanagers use electronic or desk-top publishing systems for preparing written technical communications (Table 26). Of those

Table 26. Use of Electronic or Desk-Top Publishing Systems for Preparing Written Technical Communications

	Ma	Nonmanagers		
Frequency	No.	%	No.	%
Always Usually Sometimes Never	9 27 29 58 123	7.3 22.0 23.5 47.2 100.0	56 85 118 116 425	13.2 20.0 27.7 39.1 100.0

who do use such systems, approximately 30 percent of the managers "always" or "usually" use them, and approximately 24 percent "sometimes" use them. Approximately 33 percent of the nonmanagers "always" or "usually" use electronic or desk-top

publishing systems, and approximately 28 percent "sometimes" use them.

Managers and nonmanagers use a variety of information technologies to communicate technical information (Table 27). The percentage of "I already use it" responses ranges from a high of 90.1 percent (FAX or TELEX) for managers and 82.5 percent

Table 27. Use, Nonuse, and Potential Use of Information Technologies to Communicate Technical Information

		М	anagers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Information Technologies		l already use it	I don't use it, but may in the future	I don't use it, and doubt if I will
	No.	%	%	%
Audiotapes and cassettes Motion picture film Videotape Desk-top/electronic publishing Floppy disks Computer cassette/cartridge tapes Electronic mail Electronic bulletin boards FAX or TELEX Electronic databases Video conferencing Teleconferencing Micrographics and microforms Laser disk/video disk/CD-ROM Electronic networks	134 133 141 138 137 131 141 134 141 133 137 138 130 131 135	*28.4 21.8 *56.0 44.2 68.6 21.4 *58.9 31.3 90.1 54.1 20.4 67.4 18.5 6.9 34.8	26.9 20.3 36.2 44.9 24.1 42.0 35.5 50.0 7.1 36.8 59.9 25.4 43.0 64.1 51.1	44.8 57.9 7.8 10.9 7.3 36.6 5.7 18.7 2.8 9.1 19.7 7.2 38.5 29.0 14.1
			anagers	
	No.	%	%	%
Audiotapes and cassettes Motion picture film Videotape Desk-top/electronic publishing Floppy disks Computer cassette/cartridge tapes Electronic mail Electronic bulletin boards FAX or TELEX Electronic databases Video conferencing Teleconferencing Micrographics and microforms Laser disk/video disk/CD-ROM Electronic networks	446 440 448 445 453 436 445 439 451 442 443 446 426 438 438	17.7 20.2 43.8 47.4 76.4 22.9 42.7 23.9 82.5 49.1 14.9 56.0 17.8 5.9 31.3	30.5 25.9 40.6 40.4 17.4 38.3 *45.8 54.7 12.0 41.6 63.4 33.0 44.4 65.3 53.4	*51.8 53.9 *15.6 12.1 6.2 38.8 11.5 21.4 5.5 9.3 21.7 11.0 37.8 28.8 15.3

<sup>\*</sup> Differences between managers and nonmanagers are significant at p > 0.05.

(FAX or TELEX) for nonmanagers to a low of 6.9 percent (laser disc/video disc/CD-ROM) for managers and 5.9 percent (laser disc/video disc/CD-ROM) for nonmanagers. A list of the information technologies most frequently used by managers and nonmanagers for communicating technical information follows:

## Most Frequently Used By Managers

FAX or TELEX
Floppy disks
Teleconferencing
Electronic mail
Video tape

#### Most Frequently Used By Nonmanagers

FAX or TELEX
Floppy disks
Teleconferencing
Electronic databases
Desk top/electronic
publishing

A further look at Table 27 reveals several information technologies for which a considerable number of "I don't use it, and doubt if I will" responses were recorded. The percentages of these responses range from a high of 57.9 percent (motion picture film) for managers and 53.9 percent for nonmanagers to a low of 2.8 percent (FAX or TELEX) for managers and 5.5 percent (FAX or TELEX) for nonmanagers. A list of the five information technologies receiving the highest percentage of "don't use it, and doubt if I will" responses follows:

## Least Frequently Used By Managers

Motion picture film
Audiotapes and cassettes
Micrographics and
microforms
Computer cassette/
microforms
Laser disc/video disc/
CD-ROM

## Least Frequently Used By Nonmanagers

Motion picture film Audiotapes and cassettes Computer cassette/ cartridge tapes Micrographics and cartridge tapes Laser disc/video disc/ CD-ROM Table 27 also indicates several information technologies for which a considerable percentage of "I don't use it, but may in the future" responses were recorded. The percentages of these responses range from a high of 64.1 percent (laser/disc/video disc/CD-ROM) for managers and 65.3 percent (laser/disc/video disc/CD-ROM) for nonmanagers to a low of 2.8 percent (FAX or TELEX) for managers and 5.5 percent (FAX or TELEX) for nonmanagers. A list of the five information technologies receiving the highest percentage of "I don't use it, but may in the future" responses follows:

### Most Likely to be Used By Managers

Laser disc/video disc/CD-ROM
Video conferencing
Electronic networks
Electronic bulletin
boards

#### Most Likely to be Used By Nonmanagers

Laser disc/video disc/ CD-ROM Video conferencing Electronic bulletin boards Electronic networks

Considering the 15 information technologies in the list, managers were more likely to say that they already use audiotapes and cassettes, videotape, and electronic mail. Nonmanagers were more likely to say that they doubt they will use audiotapes and cassettes and videotape, and they were more likely to say that they may use electronic mail in the future.

### VALIDITY OF THE ASSUMPTIONS

The following conclusions are presented concerning the validity of the five study assumptions.

Assumption 1: The Importance of Communicating Technical Information Effectively Is Equally Significant to Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers

The responses of managers and nonmanagers to the five questions associated with this assumption were very similar. The importance of communicating technical information effectively is significant to aerospace managers and nonmanagers alike. There is very little difference in the average amount of time the two groups spend communicating technical information to others and working with technical communications received from others. Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to say that the amount of time spent communicating technical information to others has increased, whereas managers were more likely than nonmanagers to say it has decreased. Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to say that the amount of time spent working with technical communications from others has stayed the same, whereas managers were more likely than nonmanagers to say that the amount of time spent working with technical communications from others has decreased. However, based on the overall responses to questions dealing with this assumption, the conclusion of NO DIFFERENCE in technical communications practices is reached for ASSUMPTION 1.

# Assumption 2: The Use and Production of Technical Information and Technical Information Products Are Different For Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers

The responses of managers and nonmanagers to the seven questions associated with this assumption were very different. Significant differences were found for 10 of the 14 types of technical information products produced and used. The magnitudes of difference were greatest for the numbers of memos, letters, drawings/specifications, and A/V materials produced and used. Significant differences existed for how managers and nonmanagers produce artwork and the sources they consult for help in preparing technical communications.

Significant differences also exist in the types of technical information produced and used by managers and nonmanagers in the performance of their duties and in the sources of technical information used to solve technical problems. Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to use experimental techniques and computer programs, whereas managers were more likely than nonmanagers to use government rules and regulations and economic information. Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to produce scientific and technical information, experimental techniques, and computer programs, whereas managers were more likely than nonmanagers to produce economic information. When solving a technical problem, nonmanagers were more likely than managers to use discussions with supervisors, government technical reports, other technical reports, journal articles, conference/meeting papers, textbooks, and handbooks/standards,

whereas managers were more likely than nonmanages to use experts outside the organization. Therefore, the conclusion of DIFFERENCE in technical communications practices is reached for ASSUMPTION 2.

# Assumption 3: The Content For an Undergraduate Course in Technical Communications Should Be Viewed Differently By Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers

The responses of mangers and nonmanagers to the six questions associated with this assumption were very similar. There is very little difference in the percentage of managers and nonmanagers who had taken technical communications coursework and in the percentages of managers and nonmanagers who indicated that such coursework had helped them to better communicate technical information. Further, there were very few differences in the types of principles, mechanics, on-the-job communications, and types of technical reports to be included in an undergraduate technical communications curriculum for aeronautical engineers and scientists. Therefore, the conclusion of NO DIFFERENCE in technical communications practices is reached for ASSUMPTION 3.

# Assumption 4: The Use of Libraries, Technical Information Centers, and On-Line (Electronic) Databases Differs For Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers

The responses of managers and nonmanagers to the three questions associated with this assumption were different.

Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to use a library or technical information center and were more likely to use on-line (electronic) databases than managers. Nonmanagers were more

likely than managers to do all or most of their own searches.

Therefore, the conclusion of DIFFERENCE in technical communications practices is reached for ASSUMPTION 4.

## Assumption 5: The Use and Importance of Computer and Information Technology Differs for Aerospace Managers and Nonmanagers

The responses of managers and nonmanagers to three of the six questions associated with this assumption were different. Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to use computer technology for preparing technical communications and were more likely to say that the use of computer technology has increased their ability to communicate technical information "a lot." Nonmanagers were more likely than managers to use scientific graphics software and managers were more likely than nonmanagers to use business graphics software.

Managers were more likely than nonmanagers to "already use" audiotapes and cassettes, where as nonmanagers were more likely than managers to say that they "doubt if they will" use this technology. Managers were more likely than nonmanagers to "already use" video tape where as nonmanagers were more likely than managers to say that they "doubt if they will" use it. Managers were more likely than nonmanagers to "already use" electronic mail, whereas nonmanagers were more likely than nonmanagers to say they "don't but may" use it in the future. Therefore, the conclusion of DIFFERENCE in technical communications practices is reached for ASSUMPTION 5.

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

Aerospace managers and nonmanagers have different technical communications practices for three of the five assumptions tested. Therefore, in response to the study's research question, it is concluded that aerospace managers and nonmanagers do have different technical communications practices.

However, while the results of this study provide empirical evidence regarding the technical communications practices of aerospace managers and nonmanagers, data supporting the presumption that the "difference" is attributable to the duties performed by aerospace managers and nonmanagers are neither conclusive nor compelling. The limitations of this exploratory study and the study's research design prohibit reaching that conclusion. Nevertheless, the implication that these differences arise from differing professional duties is hard to resist.

There are perhaps several explanations for both the similarities and the differences in the findings regarding the technical communications practices of aerospace managers and nonmanagers. One possible reason for the similarities is that the managers in this study have risen through the ranks and have retained many of the technical communications practices formed while they were nonmanagers. Another possible explanation is that many of the managers included in this study are actually working supervisors and, consequently, utilize technical communications practices common to both managers and nonmanagers.

The differences may be variously explained. One explanation can be attributed to a difference in the duties performed by the two groups. For example, it seems logical that managers would produce more economic information than nonmanagers and that managers would use more economic information and government rules and regulations than nonmanagers. Likewise, it seems logical that different duties would explain why nonmanagers produce and use significantly more experimental techniques and computer programs than do managers. Could other factors or variables (e.g., organizational affiliation) account for the different technical communications practices?

Accessibility or availability of support help may also explain certain technical communications practices among aerospace managers and nonmanagers. Managers are more likely than nonmanagers to seek the help of a secretary to prepare written technical communications. Likewise, managers are more likely than nonmanagers to use a secretary to help prepare their artwork. Does accessibility or availability explain why neither managers nor nonmanagers make extensive use of technical writers and editors? Could familiarity, experience, ease of use, or expense account for this finding?

Managers make greater use of experts outside of the organization to solve technical problems. One possible explanation is that managers have greater access to outside experts. Another is that the use of outside experts to solve problems is a fairly common practice among managers.

On the other hand, nonmanagers are far more likely than managers to use a variety of information sources when seeking solutions to technical problems. Is the use of various information sources by nonmanagers more an indication of the different type(s) of problems being solved? Both groups, however, display a preference for personalized, informal information sources when solving technical problems. This similarity may be more attributable to social/professional enculturation than to any other possible factor or variable.

Both managers and nonmanagers prefer personalized, informal information sources to libraries, technical information centers, and on-line electronic databases. This similarity may also be attributable to social/professional enculturation. On the other hand, the finding that nonmanagers are more likely than managers to use libraries, technical information centers, and on-line electronic databases may be attributed to a difference in the duties performed by the two groups.

Nonmanagers are more likely than managers to use computer technology for preparing written technical communications, a distinction that may be more dependent upon the lack of secretarial support for nonmanagers than differences in duties. Furthermore, the fact that managers are more likely than nonmanagers to use certain information technology may be dependent upon managers' access to the technology because of their position within the organization rather than because of differences in duties.

Although the results of this study add to a rather limited empirical knowledge base, more research regarding the technical communications practices of aerospace managers and nonmanagers is clearly needed. The data reported here offer limited but useful insight into the technical communications practices of aerospace managers and nonmanagers. Technical communications educators may find the results useful in curriculum planning, technical information managers may find the results useful when planning and providing for information policy and services, and researchers may find the results useful for planning a more indepth investigation of the topic.

### SURVEY INSTRUMENT

### TECHNICAL COMMUNICATIONS IN AERONAUTICS

L. In your work, how important is it fo	or $YOU$ to communicate technic	cal information effectively?		Col.
Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not at all Import	ant	5
2. How many hours do $\mathit{YOU}$ spend eac	ch week communicating techni	cal information TO others?	Hours	67
3. How many hours do $YOU$ spend eac	ch week working with technica	communications FROM others	Hours	8.9
4. As you have advanced professional TO OTHERS changed?	lly, how has the amount of time	YOU spend communicating tech	nical information	
Increased	Stayed the Same	Decreased		10
<ol> <li>As you have advanced professional received FROM OTHERS changed</li> </ol>	lly, how has the amount of time?	YOU spend working with techni	cal communications	;
Increased	Stayed the Same	Decreased		11
6. Approximately how many times in	the past six months did you wr	rite/prepare:		
Letters	times in the	Journal articles	-	12- 53
Memos	past 6 months	Conference/Meeting papers		
Technical reports-Government		Trade/Promotional literatur	·e	
Technical reports-Other		Press releases		
Proposals		Drawings/Specifications		
Technical manuals		Speeches		
Computer program documentation	l	Audio/Visual materials		
7. How many times in the past one mo		ten/prepared by other people?		
Letters	# read/used	Journal articles		54- 89
Memos	in past 1 month	Conference/Meeting papers		.,,
Technical reports-Government		Trade/Promotional literatu	re	
Technical reports-Other		Drawings/Specifications		
Proposals		Audio/Visual materials		
Technical Manuals	_			
Computer program documentation	n			
8. When you write/prepare technical	communications, do you receiv	e help from:		
grand programme	Always	Usually Sometimes	Never	90- 95
Other colleagues			·	.,,,
Secretaries	- 111 Marie Marie 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
Technical writers or e	editors			
A thesaurus/dictiona	ary			
A style manual				
A grammar hotline	was named and a			

9	prep	ared? (Ch	eck Only C	one)	nts now the artwork f	or YOUK	isual ai	ds (charts, graphs) is	
	2 3	I do my The gray Sometin A secret	own artwor phics depar nes I do it an ary does it	k without a computer k with a computer tment does my artwork nd sometimes the graph		t			96
	6	The arty	vork is prep	pared elsewhere					
10.	Have	you ever	taken a co	urse(s) in technical com	munications/writing?	?			
	1	Yes, as a Undergr	n aduate	Yes, after graduation	Yes, both	ı	4	No (Skip to Q. 12)	97
11.	How	well did t	his course l	help $YOU$ communicate	technical information	n?			
		A Lot		A Little	Did not I	Help			98
12.	In yo	our opinio se for aero	n, which of onautical er	the following topics shongineers and scientists?	ould be included in an	undergra	duate t	echnical communications	s
	Yes	<i>No</i>	Assessir	g the communication's p ng readers' needs	urpose	Yes	No	Mechanics Abbreviations Acronyms	99. 116
			Developi transi	ing information ing paragraphs (introdu tions, and conclusions) sentences (active vs. pas				Capitalization Numbers Punctuation	
			paralle Using st Notetaki	el ideas, shifts in person andard English gramm ing and quoting	or tense)			References Spelling Symbols	
		=		and revising g words (avoiding wordi terms)	ness, jargon, slang,				
			Using in electro	formation technology (vonic data bases, etc.)	video conferencing,				
13.	Which	h of the fo nunicati	ollowing on ions cours	-the-job communication e for aeronautical engin	s should be included i eers and scientists?	n an <b>unde</b> i	rgradua	ate technical	
	Yes	No		_		Yes	No	Reports:	
			Abstract	s				Feasibility	117- 134
			Letters					Investigative	134
			Memos					Laboratory	
			Instructi					Progress	
			Journal a	articles				Test	
			Literatur	re reviews				Trip	
			Manuals					Trouble	
			Newslett	er articles		1	2		
			Oral pres	sentations					
			Specifica	itions					
	<u> </u>			formation sources					
14.	Do <i>Y</i> (	$\partial U$ use co	mputer tec	hnology to prepare tech	nical communications	s?			
		Always		Usually	Sometime		4	Never (Skip to Q. 19)	135
15.	Has c	omputer (	technology	increased YOUR ability	z to communicate tech	mical infor	mations	•	
		A Lot		A Little	Not at All		mawon:		136
				-	a				

16.	Do YOU	use a	ny of the following softw	are for preparii	ng written technic	cal communica	tions?						
	Yes	No				Yes No	,						
			Word processing				Thesaurus		137- 143				
			Outliners and prompte	ers		1466-114	Business gra	phics	14.,				
			Grammar and style ch				. Scientific gra	anhics					
			-	ieckers		1 2	. Scientific gre	.pines					
	1	2	Spelling checkers										
17.		Do $YOU$ use an integrated graphics, text, and modeling engineering workstation for preparing written technical communications?											
	Al		Usua	lly	Sometimes	s	Never		144				
18.			ectronic or desk-top publ										
	Al	lways	Usua	lly	Sometimes	s	Never		145				
19.	How do	YOU v	iew your use of the follow	ving informatio	n technologies in	communicatin	g technical inforn	nation?					
	Information Technologies			I already use it	I <b>don't</b> use it, but <b>may</b> in the future	I <b>don't</b> use it and <b>doubt</b> if I will	?						
	Audio ta	apes ar	d cassettes						146- 160				
	Motion								160				
	Video ta	ape											
		-	ronic publishing										
	Floppy					***							
	_		ette/cartridge tapes										
	Electron				<del></del>								
			etin boards										
	FAX or												
	Electron												
	Video co		_										
	Telecon		_										
	_	_	and microforms										
	Electron		eo disc/CD-ROM										
	Pieciloi	nic nec	WORKS	1		3							
20.	. When fa	aced wi	th solving a technical pr	oblem, do you g	et technical infor	mation from:							
					Always	Usually	Sometimes	Never					
	Persona								161- 172				
			ssions with colleagues										
			ith supervisors										
			ith experts in your organ										
			ith experts <i>outside</i> of you	ır organization			<del></del>						
		-	rts-Government										
		•	orts-Other										
			ournals/conference meet	ing papers									
	Textboo		1 4 1 1										
			d standards	lie - 4 .	Appeal of the Contract of			~					
			rmation sources, such as										
			ing and abstracting guid										
			nd current awareness too										
	Libraria	ans/te	chnical information spec	nansts	1	2	3	4					

21	. What	types of	technical information do you $\mathit{USE}$ in $_{ m I}$	performing your present duties?				
	Yes	No						
		-	Scientific and technical information	n	179			
			Experimental techniques		173 183			
		-	Codes of standards and practices					
			Design procedures and methods					
			Computer programs					
		-	Government rules and regulations					
			In-house technical data					
		-	Product and performance character	istics				
			Economic information					
			Technical specifications					
	1	2	Patents					
22.	What	types of	echnical information do you <i>PRODU</i>	CE (or expect to produce) in performing your present duties?				
	Yes	No	•	your process duties.				
			Scientific and technical information		104			
			Experimental techniques		184- 194			
			Codes of standards and practices					
			Design procedures and methods					
			Computer programs					
		**	Government rules and regulations					
			In-house technical data					
			Product and performance character	istics				
			Economic information					
		_	Technical specifications					
	i	- 2	Patents					
23.	How often do you use the library or a technical information center? (Circle Choice)							
	1 — Da			to three times a month	105			
				e a month	1 <b>9</b> 5			
		ice a wee	=	s than once a month				
			7 — Do r					
24.	Do you	use elec	tronic data bases to find bibliographic	e citations and abstracts? $1 - \text{Yes} = 2 - \text{No} (\text{Skip to } \mathbf{Q.26})$	196			
				, <b>,</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
ZƏ.		(Circle (		4 15				
				4 — Do most searches through an intermediary (e.g. librarian)	197			
			arches yourself yourself and half through an	5 — Do all searches through an intermediary				
	i — Do	ntermed	iary (e.g. librarian)					
TH DII	IS DAT	A WILL	BE USED TO DETERMINE WHETH HNICAL COMMUNICATION PRAC	ER PEOPLE WITH DIFFERENT BACKGROUNDS HAVE				
		s your ge		Hels.	198			
07	Whati		vel of education?					
		s your ie. degree	3 — Masters	5 O4h				
		chelors	5 — Masters 4 — Doctorate	5 — Other	199			
	2 – Da	CHEIOIS	4 – Doctorate					
28.	How m	any year	s of professional work experience do y	ou have? Years	200- 201			
29.	Type of	forganiz	ation where you work? (Circle Only O	ne Number)				
	1 — Ac			4 — Government (Non-NASA)				
	2 - Ind			5 — NASA	202			
		t-for-pro		6 — Other				
		¥ **	`	(0	VER)			

30.	What are your present professional duties? (Circle Only <i>One</i> Number)				
	01 — Research	06 — Manufacturing/Production	20: 20		
	02 — Administration/Mgt. (for profit)	07 — Private Consultant	20		
	03 — Administration/Mgt. (not-for-profit sector)	08 — Service/Maintenance			
	04 — Design/Development	09 — Marketing/Sales			
	05 — Teaching/Academic	10 — Other			
31.	What is your AIAA interest group? (Circle Only One Number)				
	1 — Aerospace Science	5 — Aerospace and Information Systems	20		
	2 — Aircraft Systems	6-Administration/Management			
	3- Structures, Design, and Test	7 — Other			
	4 — Propulsion and Energy				
32.	Is American English your first (native) language? 1	— Yes 2 — No	20		
33.	Are you an Engineer or a Scientist? 1 — Engineer	2- Scientist	20		
34	Are there comments you would like to add about topics c	overed in this questionnaire?			
35.	What can be done to improve technical communications	in aeronautics?			

Mail to:

Dr. M. Glassman Dept. of Marketing Old Dominion University Norfolk, VA 23529-0218

ı		
		1
		'

#### REFERENCES

- Pinelli, Thomas E; Myron Glassman; Walter E. Oliu; and Rebecca O. Barclay. <u>Technical Communications in Aeronautics: Results of an Exploratory Study</u>. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA TM-101534, Part 1, February 1989. 106 p. (Available from NTIS, Springfield, VA.)
- Pinelli, Thomas E; Myron Glassman; Walter E. Oliu; and Rebecca O. Barclay. <u>Technical Communications in Aeronautics:</u>
  Results of an Exploratory Study. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space Administration. NASA TM-101534, Part 2, February 1989. 84 p. (Available from NTIS, Springfield, VA.)
- Pinelli, Thomas E.; Myron Glassman; and Virginia M. Cordle.

  Survey of Reader Preferences Concerning the Format of NASA

  Technical Reports. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and
  Space Administration. NASA TM-84502. August 1982. 84 p.

  (Available from NTIS, Springfield, VA.)
- Pinelli, Thomas E.; Virginia M. Cordle; Myron Glassman; and Raymond F. Vondran. "Report Format Preferences of Technical Managers and Nonmanagers." <u>Technical Communication</u> 31:2 (Second Quarter 1984): 4-8.
- Pinelli, Thomas E.; Virginia M. Cordle; Myron Glassman; and Raymond F. Vondran, Jr. "Report-reading Patterns of Technical Managers and Nonmanagers." <u>Technical Communication</u> 31:3 (Third Quarter 1984): 20-24.

Report Documentation Page								
1. Report No.	2. Government Accession	n No.	3. Recipient's Catalog	No.				
NASA TM-101625								
4. Title and Subtitle		······································	5. Report Date	·				
Technical Communications i	Results of	August 1989						
an Exploratory Study An Nonmanagers' Responses	agers' and	6. Performing Organization Code						
7. Author(s)		8. Performing Organia	zation Report No.					
Thomas E. Pinelli, Myron G	lassman.							
Rebecca O. Barclay, and Wa		10. Work Unit No.						
<b>3</b>	505-90							
9. Performing Organization Name and Addres	SS							
NASA Langley Research Cento Hampton, VA 23665-5225	11. Contract or Grant No.							
		:	13. Type of Report and Period Covered					
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address			Technical Memorandum					
National Aeronautics and Space 2001	pace Administrat	ion	14. Sponsoring Agency Code					
Washington, DC 20546-0001								
Thomas E. Pinelli: NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA Myron Glassman: Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA Rebecca O. Barclay: Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, NY Walter E. Oliu: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC								
Data collected from an exploratory study concerned with the technical communications practices of aerospace engineers and scientists were analyzed to test the primary assumption that aerospace managers and non-managers have different technical communications practices. Five assumptions								
were established for the analysis. Aeroapace managers and nonmanagers were found to have different technical communications practices for three of the five assumptions tested. Although aerospace managers and nonmanagers were found to have different technical communications practices, the evidence was neither conclusive nor compelling that the presumption of "difference" in "practices" could be attributed to the duties performed by aerospace managers and nonmanagers.								
17. Key Words (Suggested by Author(s))		18. Distribution Statem	nent					
Technical communications Managers and nonmanagers	Unclassified - Unlimited							
Aeronautical engineers and Communications practices	scientists	Subject Category 82						
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of the	nis page)	21. No. of pages	22. Price				
Unclassified	Unclassified		58	A04				



National Aeronautics and Space Administration Code NTT-4

Washington, D.C. 20546-0001

Official Business Penalty for Private Use, \$300

# SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAID NASA

Permit No. G-27



POSTMASTER:

If Undeliverable (Section 158 Postal Manual) Do Not Return